

Department of Land Use

Department of Land Use Exploratory Report

Date -

Engineering Firm -

Application Number -

Name of Project –

Description Type of Plan -

Date of 1st Review-

April 22, 2008

Apex Engineering, Inc.

20080274

The Shops at Brandywine

364,000 s.f. mixed use development Major rezoning land development

April 22, 2008

Project Review Team

Planner:

Engineer: Historical:

Transportation:
Special Services:

Michael J. Benner, 395-5436

Eric Laramore, 395-5447

Christine Quinn, 395-5521

John Janowski, 395-5426 Dave Thurman, 395-5752

Status of Review -

General Compliance for the public hearing- The Department will issue an additional review after the public hearing that will either find the plan acceptable to proceed to Preliminary after addressing all comments and/or studies or unacceptable, submit a revised exploratory plan to address all comments and/or studies.

County Board Hearing -

Planning Board

Board of Adjustment

RPATAC

Planning

Date complete submission received: March 27, 2008

Date reviewed: April 22, 2008 Number of days: Eighteen

1.) The proposed rezoning from ST to CR may be considered inappropriate at this time. At the joint Department and Planning Board Public Hearing, the applicant should be prepared to address the plan's conformance with the Comprehensive Development Plan, impact upon the surrounding area and infrastructure, compatibility of land use intensity and scale of proposed development, character of the neighborhood, zoning, and use of nearby properties and the suitability of the property for the proposed use. Rezoning applications follow the procedure in Section 40.31.113 of the NCCC.

2.) This plan represents significant improvements from the previous iteration. Loading and trash facilities are no longer oriented toward Concord Pike. Combined with a main street-like appearance, elimination of the divisive jug handle configuration allows a design that can be more pedestrian friendly. The 52,000 s.f. building is now integrated into the overall design. What appear to be architectural features at its corner and the building opposite will add visual interest and better relate the development to Concord Pike rather than turning its back on the corridor.

However, as a mixed use development some revision will be necessary. The definition of Mixed Use in Chapter 40 of the New Castle County Code is "One or more buildings on a lot planned, designed and managed as an integrated development comprised of residential and nonresidential uses oriented to a pedestrian precinct and intended to provide convenient shopping, employment and residential opportunities while reducing vehicular trip generation." While the commercial component is designed to be pedestrian friendly and has a "village green" as a focal point, the office and residential components appear tacked on as an afterthought rather than integrated into the development. They are not "oriented to a pedestrian precinct" as required by the definition. Further, Pedestrian Precinct is defined as "A paved or largely paved area such as a plaza or courtyard set aside for pedestrian use that provides amenities, including but not limited to seating areas and other street furniture, lighting, landscaping, fountains, water features, art or other appropriate elements." Therefore, both office and residential uses must have a significant presence on a courtyard or plaza feature along with the commercial use. This may be accomplished with the use of multi-story buildings and by designing the "village green" as a plaza, relocating it to the west side of the intersection and by placing a residential building fronting on the east-west drive at the 0.3 ac. stormwater area.

- 3.) The 36 proposed dwelling units would be nearly 2,800 s.f. each which is considerably larger than most apartments. This site can accommodate and, to make full use of the mixed use concept, should have a more pronounced residential presence. Dwellings should be designed to take better advantage of the natural area in the center by placing them closer to its edger rather than being interrupted by stormwater facilities. By dispersing or relocating stormwater management, dwellings may be placed in a more natural setting and orientation that better complements the surrounding landscape yet is integrated with and provides a transition to the remainder of the proposed development.
- 4.) The intersection of Concord Pike and Naamans/Beaver Valley Road represents a highly visible gateway to New Castle County and Delaware. As such it should not be showcased by a parking lot at its southwest corner. The 8,000 s.f. building should be expanded into that corner and as a multi-story building to complement the other architectural themes previously discussed on Concord Pike.

- 5.) A minimum 100 foot scenic corridor buffer is required along Beaver Valley and Thompson Bridge Roads in accordance with Section 40.04.240 and the Brandywine Scenic Rivers and Highway Study. A variance from the Board of Adjustment must be granted prior to preliminary plan submission.
- 6.) Prior to record plan approval, submit a copy of the proposed wetlands disturbance/crossing. Confirm whether DNREC requires a subaqueous permit across the stream.
- 7.) Disturbance of the RBA for a use not permitted by Table 40.10.210 requires a variance from the Board of Adjustment subsequent to a recommendation from the RPATAC. Since the proposed nonresidential development consists solely of one story buildings, we find that alternatives exist to disturbing the RBA to construct them.
- 8.) If this project is intended to be constructed in phases, it must be accomplished such that each phase meets the mixed use standards for required variety of uses including residential.
- 9.) Note that mixed use developments do not permit single use, pad site restaurants.
- 10.) Where Rocky Run Parkway meets the proposed extension, the parking and loading access for the existing shopping center is affected retain full access to the rear of the shopping center parking along its side near the entrance.
- 11.) Extend sidewalks in front of the office building to and along Thompson Bridge and Beaver Valley Roads. Extend the walk from the 52,000 s.f. building to Concord Pike. For perimeter sidewalks, provide a five between the walk and curb.
- 12.) Bicycle racks should be distributed along the "main street" which should also include street trees and furnishings as with a typical main street.
- 13.) The park and ride location is subject to DART review. It may be more convenient for its users to take advantage of shopping opportunities if bus stop was closer to center of site rather than in the far corner of the parking lot.
- 14.) Confirm that loading facilities can be adequately accessed by vehicles intended to be served by them. Architecturally compatible gates should be used where appropriate so as not to impose a psychological barrier to pedestrians using the sidewalks.
- 15.) The CNA appears to follow southern property line but may extend onto subject site. Provide written confirmation of the delineation from DNREC.

- 16.) Add the application number to the plan and reference it on all correspondence.
- 17.) Submit a completed LDIA information sheet to initiate the performance surety process.
- 18.) If a complete preliminary plan submission is not made within twelve months of the date of this letter, the application will be expired in accordance with Section 40.31.390 of the County Code.

Engineering

The Engineering Section has reviewed the exploratory submission and finds the plan acceptable to proceed to the public hearing. However, the following comments must be addressed prior to exploratory approval. A cover letter addressing each comment within this review letter must accompany any future submission of this application. The letter must describe the manner in which each comment was addressed. If the following items are not addressed as part of any future complete submission, the submission will be considered incomplete, automatically denied and returned.

- Date Received by Engineering Section: 3/27/2008
 Date Completed by Engineering Section: 4/22/2008
 Number of Days in the Engineering Section: 18 Days.
- 2.) Provide a revised stormwater narrative, specifically in regard to the required information in item I, discussing the significance of the type, function, location and size of the stormwater management areas shown on the exploratory plan. The information presented in the revised narrative must give more insight to the intent to comply with the associated stormwater regulations. For example, the use of the NCC County Soil Survey would reveal the types of soils on the site indicating any areas that may be conducive to infiltration in which an appropriate BMP would be specified on the plan and located in that area. The current plan gives no insight to the type or use of the stormwater areas shown.
- 3.) Clarify the information provided in the stormwater narrative regarding item J and the statement that no downstream constraints exist. The exploratory plan shows an existing culvert pipe on the plan, but no information regarding the size, condition or potential constraints that pipe may place on the future design has been provided.
- 4.) The plan proposes an extension of Rocky Run Parkway through protected waters of the U.S. Be advised, the wetlands delineation must be verified by the Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) to determine if the areas of wetlands proposed to be disturbed are jurisdictional and regulated by the ACOE. A permit for disturbance would need to be issued by the ACOE. DNREC's Division of Subaqueous Lands must also be

contacted to verify the required permitting for the proposed crossing. Further, in accordance with Table 40.10.210 of the UDC, the proposed disturbance requires the submission and approval of an Environmental Impact Assessment Report, Section 40.10.410, 40.31.150 and 40.31.440; prior to preliminary plan approval.

- 5.) The previous record plan shows delineated wetlands, north of the existing Rocky Run Parkway, in the location of one of the proposed stormwater areas and a portion of the proposed driveway. Verify the existence of wetlands in this area. If wetlands do exist, the plan must be revised to address the resource accordingly. Further, identify the type of facility you propose in this location and address the concerns of feasibility in regard to the applicable regulations for stormwater in an existing wetland (Section 1.0.3.11 of the DSSR), the constructability of stormwater management facility in hydric soils, constraint of high groundwater and the necessary permitting requirements from State and Federal agencies.
- 6.) Clarify the ownership of the existing stormwater facility located on site and all parties associated with the 15' wide drainage easement shown on the previous record plan. Further, clarify the intent for these features in regard to their use within this application.

The following comments are to be addressed with the preliminary plan submission; subsequent to the exploratory approval:

- 7.) The Engineering Section suggests maximizing the runoff volume management for this application, with the goal of managing the more frequent but less intense storm events, by utilizing disconnection through GTBMPs located at impervious sources and practices such as green roof management and/or rooftop rainwater harvesting for non-potable water usage. This site is located in the headwaters of the Brandywine Creek. Headwater tributaries are easily impacted by hydrologic changes caused through increased impervious land cover such as the type proposed in this application. The Engineering Section does applaud the proposed use of permeable pavements to help manage the runoff volume from adversely impacting the receiving watercourse.
- 8.) Address the requirements of UDC Section 40.10.310.D regarding the existence of multiple non-delineated floodplains located on the parcel as identified by watercourses on sheet 3 of the NCC Soil Survey and a blue-line watercourse on the USGS Quadrangle for Wilmington North, NCC. Be advised, the existence of a non-delineated floodplain requires that an associated riparian buffer be placed around the floodplain. Furthermore, the encroachment through this watercourse for the purpose of creating the Rocky Run Parkway Extension requires the submission of an Environmental Impact Assessment Report in accordance with UDC 40.10.210. The EIA report shall address the implications regarding the effect on the floodplain and necessary mitigation.

- 9.) The Engineering Section suggests examining this volume control practices such as green roof harvesting for landscape irrigation and non-potable water usage.
- 10.) Verify that the existing pond is capable of managing the stormwater runoff proposed by this application while remaining in compliance with the applicable regulations. Be advised, the existing facility shall be required to be in compliance with current safety regulations governed for stormwater ponds in accordance with Section 10.3.6 of the Delaware Sediment and Stormwater Regulations. In order to obtain exploratory approval, a written assessment of the facility shall be required listing all deficiencies with the facility and the proposed remedy to bring those deficiencies into compliance.
- 11.) The previous record plan indicates that the prior use utilized on-site wastewater. Provide a note on the plan addressing the requirements of abandoning or removing the existing septic in accordance with the requirements enforced by DNREC.
- 12.) A Notice of Intent for Stormwater Discharge shall be required for this application. Provide a copy of the Notice of Intent for Stormwater Discharge approval upon receipt from DNREC, prior to recordation.
- 13.) Be advised, additional comments may be issued on this application due to future plan changes, additional or new information or based upon the level of exactness of the information submitted.

Historical

National Register eligible Eight Square School and barn associated with a previously removed house are shown to be removed. The plan must be reviewed by the HRB prior to preliminary plan submission.

Mapping

- 1. The Proposed Rocky Run Parkway Extension needs to be renamed due to the existing Rocky Run Parkway.
- 2. The interior connector street needs to be named. The Residential Units needs to have street name for addressing purposes.

Transportation

A Traffic Impact Study (TIS) was scoped 2/22/2007, and that scope needs update to reflect the current Plan. The Plan proposes to extend Rocky Run Parkway northwestward, from Route 202 to Route 92/Thompson Bridge Road. That extension would bypass the 202/92 intersection.

Since DelDOT classifies Thompson Bridge Road a Major Collector, they will want 80-foot wide right-of-way along the site. And since the recorded Parkway alignment runs *southwestward* from Route 202 (MF 13743), DelDOT may want an angled intersection where the Parkway Extension veers away.

The Plan also proposes closer to the 202/92 intersection, driveways that connect those roads. Since the driveways look narrow and could carry traffic between 202 and 92, DelDOT should approve their configuration. DelDOT may also want Signal Agreements, like the Beaver Valley Road intersections with the site access and Thompson Bridge Road.

The Plan proposes rezoning to Commercial Regional (CR), which requires transit facilities per County Code Section 40.02.225. The Plan proposes a 100-space park-and-ride area by the Beaver Valley Road/Thompson Bridge Road intersection, with a bus pulloff and shelter nearby. DART may also want a pulloff and shelter near the 202/Parkway intersection.

The Plan proposes various sidewalks including along 202. Beaver Valley Road, and the Parkway Extension. Per Section 40.21.162 the Plan should extend the Beaver Valley Road sidewalk westward to Thompson Bridge Road, serving future development to the west. Near the southeast site corner, the proposed sidewalk along the north-south driveway should be moved to the east side closer to activity.

Special Services

Capacity in the amount of 35,400 gpd is available regionally, however there may be significant local sewer improvements downstream necessary in order to handle the additional sewer capacity.

Standard Approvals and Comments before Recordation

Deldot
Fire Marshal
LDIA
ACOE permit/approval
Landscape/lighting plan
Water supply capacity certification
DNREC CNA confirmation
DNREC subaqueous permit
School district capacity certification
Developer's affidavit (Section 40.27.140G.)

c.c. Woodlawn Trustees, Inc.
David Culver, Planning Manager